

RATIONAL HOMOLOGICAL STABILITY FOR GROUPS OF SYMMETRIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF FREE GROUPS

MATTHEW C. B. ZAREMSKY

ABSTRACT. Let F_n be the free group of rank n , with generating set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. An automorphism ϕ of F_n is called *symmetric* if for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\phi(x_i)$ is conjugate to x_j or x_j^{-1} for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_n)$ be the group of symmetric automorphisms. We prove that the inclusion $\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_n) \rightarrow \Sigma\text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$ induces an isomorphism in rational homology for $n > (3i - 1)/2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ be the group of automorphisms of the free group F_n . If $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a fixed basis of F_n , an automorphism ϕ of F_n is called *symmetric* if for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\phi(x_i)$ is conjugate to x_j or x_j^{-1} for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. If ϕ is an automorphism such that each $\phi(x_i)$ is even conjugate to x_i we call ϕ *pure symmetric*. Let $\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_n)$ be the group of symmetric automorphisms of F_n and $P\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_n)$ the group of pure symmetric automorphisms.

In [HV] it is shown that the homology of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is stable with respect to n , and in [G] the stable rational homology is even shown to be trivial. Namely, $H_i(\text{Aut}(F_n); \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for all $n > 2i + 1$ [G, Corollary 1.2]. For the pure symmetric case, in [JW] it is shown that the rational homology of $P\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is in fact *not* stable. The symmetric case $\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is the situation of present interest. In [HW] it is shown that $\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is indeed homologically stable, as a corollary to a much larger project. In particular, the inclusion $\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_n) \rightarrow \Sigma\text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$ induces an isomorphism in homology for $n > 2i + 1$, and a surjection for $n = 2i + 1$ [HW, Corollary 1.2]. In this note we prove rational homological stability using some simple arguments from combinatorial Morse theory, inspired by methods used in [BM].

Theorem 1.1. *The map $H_i(\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_n); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_{n+1}); \mathbb{Q})$ induced by inclusion is an isomorphism for $n > (3i - 1)/2$.*

J. Griffin and J. Wilson have brought to our attention the independent papers [JG] and [W], in which a much stronger result is proved using an entirely different method, with Theorem 1.1 as a trivial consequence, namely

Theorem 1.2. [JG, W] $\tilde{H}_i(\Sigma\text{Aut}(F_n); \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for all n and i .

The present work is thus not a new result, but the method developed here could have further applications to understanding the geometry of these groups. In particular Theorem 1.2 implies that the space ΣQ_n defined in Section 2 is $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic, and our method used here strongly suggests that it should even be contractible. Also, the present method has recently proved useful in analyzing the *partially symmetric* case, in [Z], which essentially subsumes the present work.

In Section 2 we provide some background on the spines of Auter space K_n and “cactus space” ΣK_n , and present a convenient stratification of ΣK_n into sublevel sets $\Sigma K_{n,c}$. Then in Section 3 we introduce a Morse function refining this stratification, and show that each $\Sigma K_{n,c}$ is $c - 1$ connected. Lastly, in Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Kai-Uwe Bux and Rob McEwen for many helpful conversations, and to James Griffin and Jenny Wilson for alerting him to the results from [JG] and [W].

2. AUTER SPACE AND CACTUS SPACE

We will analyze the homology of $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ by considering its action on a certain topological space. Our starting point is the well-studied *spine of Auter space* K_n introduced in [HV]. Let R_n be the rose with n edges, i.e., the graph with a single vertex p_0 and n edges. Here by a *graph* we mean a connected one-dimensional CW-complex, with the usual notions of vertices and (oriented) edges. We identify F_n with $\pi_1(R_n)$. If Γ is a graph with basepoint vertex p , a homotopy equivalence $\rho : R_n \rightarrow \Gamma$ is called a *marking* on Γ if ρ takes p_0 to p . We will consider two markings to be equivalent if there is a basepoint-preserving homotopy between them. Also, we only consider graphs that are *reduced*, i.e., p is at least 2-valent, all other vertices are at least 3-valent, and Γ has no separating edges; see [C, HV]. The spine K_n of Auter space is then the space of (reduced) marked basepointed graphs (Γ, p, ρ) , up to equivalence of markings, such that $\pi_1(\Gamma) = F_n$.

To be more precise, K_n is a simplicial complex, with a vertex for every equivalence class (Γ, p, ρ) . An r -simplex with vertices $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_r$ is a chain of *forest collapses* $\Gamma_r \xrightarrow{d_r} \Gamma_{r-1} \xrightarrow{d_{r-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{d_2} \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \Gamma_0$ such that there exist markings $\rho_i : R_n \rightarrow \Gamma_i$ with the following diagram commuting up to homotopy.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Gamma_r & \xrightarrow{d_r} & \Gamma_{r-1} & \xrightarrow{d_{r-1}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d_2} & \Gamma_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \Gamma_0 \\
 & & \nwarrow \rho_{r-1} & & \nearrow \rho_1 & & \nearrow \rho_0 & & \\
 & & & R_n & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Here a *forest collapse* or *blow-down* $d : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is a (basepoint-preserving) homotopy equivalence of graphs that amounts to collapsing a subforest of Γ . The reverse of a blow-down is, naturally, called a *blow-up*.

Since we are identifying F_n with $\pi_1(R_n)$, we can also identify $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ with the group of basepoint-preserving homotopy equivalences of R_n , up to homotopy. This is of course the same as the group of markings of R_n , so we can denote markings on R_n by elements of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. There is a (right) action of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ on K_n in the following way: given $(\Gamma, p, \rho) \in K_n$ and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$, we have $\phi(\Gamma, p, \rho) = (\Gamma, p, \rho \circ \phi)$. In particular this action only affects markings, and it is easy to see that $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ permutes markings arbitrarily.

To analyze the groups $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ we will work with a certain subcomplex (“*cactus space*”) ΣK_n of K_n . The vertices of ΣK_n are marked basepointed graphs (Γ, p, ρ) such that Γ is a *cactus graph* and ρ is a *symmetric marking*. A cactus graph is a graph Γ such that every edge is contained in a unique reduced cycle. A marking ρ is called symmetric if for any maximal tree T in Γ with $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/T = R_n$ we

have $\pi \circ \rho \in \Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ (recall our identification of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ with markings on R_n). For brevity we will just define a *marked cactus graph* to be a cactus graph with a symmetric marking. Since any blow-down of a cactus graph is again a cactus graph, any simplex spanned by vertices in ΣK_n is itself in ΣK_n , so this really is a full subcomplex of K_n . See [C] for a more complete discussion of cactus graphs and symmetric markings. Also see [BCV] for a generalization of this to the partially symmetric case.

The action of $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ on K_n only affects markings, and takes symmetric markings to symmetric markings, so we can consider the action of $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ on ΣK_n . Let $\Sigma Q_n := \Sigma K_n / \Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ be the orbit space. This setup is analyzed in [C], with the following important results.

Proposition 2.1. [C, Proposition 3.5, Theorem 4.7] *$\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ acts on ΣK_n with finite stabilizers and finite quotient ΣQ_n , and ΣK_n is contractible.*

It is also clear that if an element of $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ stabilizes a simplex then it fixes it pointwise, since the vertices of any simplex correspond to pairwise non-isomorphic graphs. The upshot of this that ΣQ_n and $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ have the same rational homology; see for example Exercise 2 on page 174 in [B].

It is difficult to analyze ΣQ_n directly, and so we will work with a certain stratification, similar to the one used in [HV] and [BM] for Q_n . For a cactus graph Γ with basepoint p , define the *weight* $b(\Gamma)$ to be the number of reduced cycles in Γ that contain the basepoint p as a vertex. Also define the *coweight* $c(\Gamma)$ to be $n - b(\Gamma)$, i.e., the number of reduced cycles that do not contain p . Obviously $0 \leq c(\Gamma) \leq n - 1$. For $c \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let $\Sigma K_{n,c}$ be the subspace of ΣK_n spanned by marked basepointed graphs of coweight at most c . Note that $\Sigma K_{n,0}$ is the discrete set of marked roses, and $\Sigma K_{n,c} = \Sigma K_n$ if and only if $c \geq n - 1$.

Remark 2.2. Our definition of coweight is related to the *degree* of a graph, used in [HV] and [BM]. One can check that coweight is really just half the degree, and so is essentially the same measurement, but in the cactus setting the notion of coweight is more natural.

3. MORSE THEORY

We now define a height function h on ΣK_n . This height function is related to the one defined in [BM] on the space K_n , though is adjusted to work nicely with cactus graphs. Let (Γ, p, ρ) be a basepointed marked cactus graph. For vertices v, v' in Γ , define the distance $d(v, v')$ to be the number of reduced cycles in Γ that share an edge with a minimal-length path from v to v' . Since Γ is a cactus graph, it is easy to see that this is well-defined. Let $\Lambda_i(\Gamma) := \{v \in \Gamma \mid d(p, v) = i\}$, so for example $\Lambda_0(\Gamma) = \{p\}$. For each $i \geq 0$ define $n_i(\Gamma) := -|\Lambda_i(\Gamma)|$. Note that for a given reduced cycle C , there is a unique vertex v of C with minimal distance to p . Call this vertex the *base* of C . Define $c_i(\Gamma)$ to be the number of reduced cycles in Γ whose base is not in Λ_i . This is a sort of “local coweight,” and in particular when $i = 0$ we recover the coweight, $c = c_0$. Define h to be

$$h(\Gamma) = (c_0(\Gamma), n_1(\Gamma), c_1(\Gamma), n_2(\Gamma), c_2(\Gamma) \dots)$$

with the lexicographic order. Note that we should technically write $h(\Gamma, p, \rho)$, but since h is independent of ρ , and p is understood, we will usually just write $h(\Gamma)$. This is a refinement of c , and we will use h to analyze the connectedness of $\Sigma K_{n,c}$.

For any vertex (Γ, p, ρ) define $\Sigma K_n^{\leq \Gamma}$ to be the subcomplex of ΣK_n having vertices (Γ', p', ρ') with $h(\Gamma') \leq h(\Gamma)$. We have natural notions of the *descending star* and *descending link* with respect to h . For a vertex Γ in ΣK_n , the descending star $\text{st}\downarrow(\Gamma)$ with respect to h is the open star of Γ in $\Sigma K_n^{\leq \Gamma}$, that is the set of simplices in $\Sigma K_n^{\leq \Gamma}$ that contain Γ . The descending link $\text{lk}\downarrow(\Gamma)$ is the set of faces of simplices in $\text{st}\downarrow(\Gamma)$ that do not themselves contain Γ . The descending link can be described as the join of the descending blow-down complex, or *down-link*, and the descending blow-up complex, or *up-link*; see [BM]. Here we say that a blow-down or blow-up is descending if the resulting graph has a lower height than the starting graph. Of course in the up-link we restrict to blow-ups that result in cactus graphs.

For an edge e in Γ with vertices v and v' , we call e *horizontal* if $d(p, v) = d(p, v')$. Otherwise we call e *vertical*. If a graph Γ has the property that every reduced cycle has length at most 2, we will call Γ *thin*. Otherwise we call Γ non-thin. Note that a graph is thin if and only if every horizontal edge is a loop. For a forest F in Γ , let $D(F)$ be the smallest i such that F has a vertex in Λ_i .

Lemma 3.1. *If F connects two vertices in $\Lambda_{D(F)}$ then $h(\Gamma/F) > h(\Gamma)$. If F does not connect any vertices in $\Lambda_{D(F)}$, then $h(\Gamma/F) < h(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. First suppose F connects two vertices in $\Lambda_{D(F)}$. Then $n_{D(F)}(\Gamma/F) > n_{D(F)}(\Gamma)$, and none of the n_i or c_i change for $i < D(F)$, so $h(\Gamma/F) > h(\Gamma)$. Now suppose F does not connect any vertices in $\Lambda_{D(F)}$. Then $n_{D(F)}(\Gamma/F) = n_{D(F)}(\Gamma)$, and again none of the n_i or c_i change for $i < D(F)$. However, since Γ is reduced, blowing down F must increase the number of reduced cycles with base in $\Lambda_{D(F)}$. Thus $c_{D(F)}(\Gamma/F) < c_{D(F)}(\Gamma)$, and so $h(\Gamma/F) < h(\Gamma)$. $\square$

This tells us that adjacent vertices in ΣK_n have different heights, and so descending stars of vertices with the same height are disjoint. It also implies that a single edge is a descending forest if and only if it is vertical. We now analyze the descending links of vertices in ΣK_n .

Lemma 3.2. *If Γ is non-thin, the down-link of Γ is contractible. If Γ is thin, the down-link of Γ is homotopy equivalent to a $V - 2$ sphere, where V is the number of vertices of Γ .*

Proof. The down-link of Γ is realized by the poset $P(\Gamma)$ of descending forests in Γ . First suppose Γ is non-thin. Let C be a reduced cycle of length at least 3. Then C has precisely two vertical edges and at least one horizontal edge. Let ϵ be a vertical edge and η a horizontal edge. Then the forest consisting just of ϵ is a descending forest, and the forest consisting of η is not. So, apply the map $F \mapsto F \setminus \eta$ to $P(\Gamma)$. This is clearly a poset retraction onto the poset $P_0(\Gamma)$ of descending forests that do not contain η . Since $F \setminus \eta \subseteq F$ for all F , by [Q, 1.3] this induces a homotopy equivalence between $P(\Gamma)$ and $P_0(\Gamma)$. Now apply the map $F \mapsto F \cup \epsilon$ to $P_0(\Gamma)$. Since F does not contain η , $F \cup \epsilon$ is a forest, and is still descending since ϵ is. This then induces a homotopy equivalence between $P_0(\Gamma)$ and the star of ϵ , which is visibly contractible, with cone point ϵ .

Now suppose Γ is thin. Then every subforest of Γ is a descending subforest, and so $P(\Gamma)$ is identical to $F(\Gamma)$, the complex of all forests in Γ . Moreover, since every edge of Γ is contained in a unique reduced cycle, we have that $F(\Gamma)$ is simply the join of the $F(C)$, as C ranges over every reduced cycle. But clearly $F(C)$ is either empty, if C is a loop, or is S^0 , if C has two edges. Thus $F(\Gamma)$ is homotopy

equivalent to a sphere. By [V, Proposition 2.2], $F(\Gamma)$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres of dimension $V - 2$, and so it actually must be a single sphere of dimension $V - 2$. $\square$

For non-thin Γ , we now know that $\text{lk}\downarrow(\Gamma)$ is contractible, as the join of the contractible down-link with the up-link. Now suppose Γ is thin, so the down-link is S^{V-2} , and consider the up-link. For any vertex $v \neq p$, there is a unique reduced cycle C_v containing v such that v is not the base of C_v . If a blow-up B at a vertex $v \neq p$ separates the two half-edges of C_v incident to v , we call B *separating* at v . Let $\Sigma BU(v)$ denote the poset of separating blow-ups at v . Let $b(v)$ denote the number of reduced cycles whose base is v (the “weight at v ”).

Lemma 3.3. *For $v \neq p$, $\Sigma SBU(v) \simeq S^{b(v)-2}$.*

Proof. As in Section 2 of [BM], we will use the combinatorial framework for blow-ups described in [CV], as the poset of compatible partitions. Label the half-edges incident to v that are not in C_v with $a_1, \bar{a}_1, \dots, a_{b(v)}, \bar{a}_{b(v)}$. Also label the half-edges incident to v that are in C_v with a_0 and $\bar{a}_0$. Do this so that each a_i shares a reduced cycle precisely with $\bar{a}_i$. We now consider partitions of $\{a_0, \bar{a}_0, a_1, \bar{a}_1, \dots, a_{b(v)}, \bar{a}_{b(v)}\}$ into two blocks $\{a, \bar{a}\}$ such that the size of each block is at least two. Since we only consider cactus blow-ups, we only consider partitions such that for precisely one $0 \leq i \leq b(v)$, a_i and $\bar{a}_i$ do not share a block. The partition $\{a, \bar{a}\}$ is separating at v if and only if a_0 and $\bar{a}_0$ do not share a block. We say two partitions $\{a, \bar{a}\}$ and $\{b, \bar{b}\}$ are compatible if either $a \subseteq b$ or $b \subseteq a$. Let S denote the simplicial complex of partitions, where the vertices are the partitions and each collection of $r + 1$ pairwise compatible partitions spans an r -simplex. Let S_0 be the subcomplex spanned by separating partitions, and S_1 the star of S_0 in S . Then S_1 is clearly homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma SBU(v)$, and thus so is S_0 . But S_0 is the surface of the barycentric subdivision of a $(b(v) - 1)$ -simplex with vertices labeled $1, \dots, b(v)$, so indeed $S_0 \simeq S^{b(v)-2}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\Sigma SBU(\Gamma) := \ast_{v \in \Gamma - p} \Sigma SBU(v)$. Let c be the coweight of Γ and V the number of vertices. Then $\Sigma SBU(\Gamma) \simeq S^{c-V}$.*

Proof. We know by Lemma 3.3 that

$$\Sigma SBU(\Gamma) \simeq \ast_{v \in \Gamma - p} S^{b(v)-2},$$

which is homotopic to a sphere of dimension $((V - 2) + \sum_{v \neq p} (b(v) - 2))$. But $(V - 2) + \sum_{v \neq p} (b(v) - 2) = (v - 2) + c - 2(V - 1) = c - V$. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *For thin Γ having V vertices and coweight c , the up-link of (Γ, p, ρ) in ΣK_n is homotopy equivalent to S^{c-V} .*

The proof is essentially the same as the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [BM].

Proof. We claim that the up-link is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma SBU(\Gamma)$. For a poset P , let $\underline{P}$ be $P \sqcup \{\perp\}$, where $\perp$ is a formal minimal element. Then we have that $P \ast Q \simeq \underline{P} \times \underline{Q} - \{(\perp, \perp)\}$. Let

$$X := \{f \in \prod_{v \neq p} \Sigma BU(v) \mid \exists v \in \Lambda_{D(f)} \text{ with } f_v \in \Sigma SBU(v)\}.$$

Here f_v is a blow-up at v in the tuple f , and $D(f)$ denotes the smallest i such that $f_v \neq \perp$ for some $v \in \Lambda_i$. Define a map $r : X \rightarrow \Sigma SBU(\Gamma)$ via

$$(f_v)_{v \neq p} \mapsto \left(\begin{cases} f_v & \text{for } f_v \in \Sigma SBU(v) \\ \perp & \text{for } f_v \notin \Sigma SBU(v) \end{cases} \right)_{v \neq p}$$

This is just a restriction of the map r used in the proof of [BM, Lemma 2.5], and it is clearly a poset retraction onto $\Sigma SBU(\Gamma)$. Also, $r(f) \leq f$ for all $f \in X$, and so by [Q, 1.3] this induces a homotopy equivalence between the geometric realization of X and $\Sigma SBU(\Gamma)$. But the geometric realization of X is the up-link of Γ , and so by Lemma 3.4, the up-link is homotopy equivalent to S^{c-V} . $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *For any cactus graph Γ with $c = c(\Gamma)$, either $\text{lk}\downarrow(\Gamma)$ is contractible or $\text{lk}\downarrow(\Gamma) \simeq S^{c-1}$.*

Proof. If Γ is non-thin, $\text{lk}\downarrow(\Gamma)$ is contractible. If Γ is thin, the down-link is homotopy equivalent to S^{V-2} and the up-link is homotopy equivalent to S^{c-V} . This implies that the descending link is homotopy equivalent to S^{c-1} . $\square$

Having shown that the descending links are highly connected, basic Morse theory tells us that the sublevel sets are also highly connected, since ΣK_n is contractible. Also see the Theorem in [BM].

Corollary 3.7. *$\Sigma K_{n,c}$ is $c-1$ connected.*

Proof. First note that $\Sigma K_{n,n-1} = \Sigma K_n$ is contractible, and thus $n-2$ connected. Assuming $\Sigma K_{n,c+1}$ is c -connected, we need to show that $\Sigma K_{n,c}$ is $c-1$ connected. But $\Sigma K_{n,c+1}$ is obtained from $\Sigma K_{n,c}$ by gluing on the descending stars of graphs with coweight $c+1$ along their descending links, and all these descending links are $c-1$ connected by Proposition 3.6, so this follows immediately. $\square$

4. HOMOLOGICAL STABILITY

Everything in this section is basically just the version of Section 5 in [HV] for the symmetric case, and no major changes are necessary. The only real difference is that we have used coweight as our coarse stratification, rather than degree, but since $\Sigma K_{n,c}$ is $c-1$ connected, the numbers all work out exactly as in [HV].

Consider the action of $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ on ΣK_n . For each c , $\Sigma K_{n,c}$ is clearly stabilized by $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$, so it makes sense to define $\Sigma Q_{n,c} := \Sigma K_{n,c} / \Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$. As explained in [HV], since ΣK_n is contractible and each $\Sigma K_{n,c}$ is $c-1$ connected, it is easy to see that $\Sigma Q_{n,c}$ has the same rational homology as $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ in dimensions less than c ; see for example Exercise 2 on page 174 of [B]. To be precise, we have the following

Lemma 4.1. *$H_i(\Sigma Q_{n,c}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_i(\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n); \mathbb{Q})$ for all $i < c$. Also, $H_c(\Sigma Q_{n,c}; \mathbb{Q})$ surjects onto $H_c(\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n); \mathbb{Q})$.* $\square$

To get homological stability for $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ we can now look for homological stability of $\Sigma Q_{n,c}$. Note that the vertices of ΣQ_n are just the homeomorphism types of basepointed graphs, since $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n)$ changes the markings arbitrarily. Embed $\Sigma K_{n,c}$ into $\Sigma K_{n+1,c}$ by sending (Γ, p, ρ) to $(\Gamma \vee S^1, p, \rho')$. Here ρ' is ρ extended to $\Gamma \vee S^1$ by sending the new generator to the new loop. This is the same embedding as described in [HV] for the K_n case. This induces an embedding $\iota : \Sigma Q_{n,c} \hookrightarrow \Sigma Q_{n+1,c}$.

We now describe a way to “detect” the presence of certain subgraphs at the basepoint. If Γ has rank $n + 1$ and has a loop at the basepoint p then Γ is in the image of ι , so we want to be able to detect loops. We also want to be able to detect a *loop-digon pair*. This is a subgraph δ consisting of three edges and two vertices, with two of the edges forming a digon and the third edge forming a loop at one vertex. If the other vertex is p , we call δ a loop-digon pair *at* p . For reduced cycles C, C' we will say that C' is *above* C if the base of C' is a vertex of C that is not the base of C .

Lemma 4.2. *Let (Γ, p) be a basepointed cactus graph with weight b , coweight c , and rank n . If $2c < n$ then Γ has a loop at p . If $c < 2n/3$ then either Γ has a loop or a loop-digon pair at p .*

Proof. First suppose Γ has no loops at p . Then every reduced cycle with base p has at least one reduced cycle above it, so $b \leq c$. But $n = b + c$, so $n \leq 2c$. Now suppose Γ has no loops or loop-digon pairs at p , so every reduced cycle with base p has at least two reduced cycles above it. Then $b \leq c/2$, and since $n = b + c$ we conclude that $n \leq 3c/2$. $\square$

Remark 4.3. In [HV], a similar lemma detects loops and theta subgraphs at p . Cactus graphs have no theta subgraphs, but in the cactus case these loop-digon pairs turn out to yield essentially the same result.

Proposition 4.4. *The map $\iota : \Sigma Q_{n,c} \hookrightarrow \Sigma Q_{n+1,c}$ is a homeomorphism for $2c < n + 1$ and a homotopy equivalence for $3c/2 < n + 1$.*

The proof very closely mirrors the proof of Proposition 5.4 in [HV].

Proof. If $2c < n + 1$ then every Γ in $\Sigma Q_{n+1,c}$ has a loop at p , so ι is a homeomorphism. Now suppose $3c/2 < n + 1$, and let Γ be a vertex not in the image of ι . Then Γ has no loops at p but does have at least one loop-digon pair. Let Δ be the subgraph of Γ consisting of all loop-digon pairs at p , say there are $r \geq 1$ of them. Then $\Gamma = \Delta \vee \Gamma'$, for some Γ' with rank $n + 1 - 2r$. Now, the open star of Γ in $Q_{n+1,c}$ is the product of open stars of Δ in $Q_{2r,r}$ and Γ' in $Q_{n+1-2r,c-r}$. The former consists of a single simplex, since all non-loop edges in Δ are equivalent under automorphisms of Δ ; moreover, every other vertex of this star has lower coweight since blowing down any edge reduces c by 1. So, collapsing any non-loop edge of Δ gives a deformation retraction of the star of Γ into the image of ι . $\square$

Since ι is natural with respect to $\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n) \hookrightarrow \Sigma \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$, we can now prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We know that when $3c/2 < n + 1$,

$$H_i(\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_n); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i(\Sigma \text{Aut}(F_{n+1}); \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism for all $i < c$, by Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.4. If $n > (3i - 1)/2$ then we can take $c = i + 1$ and get that $3c/2 = 3(i + 1)/2 < n + 1$, and so the result follows. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [B] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

- [BM] K.-U. Bux and R. McEwen, *A combinatorial substitute for the degree theorem in outer space*. arXiv:0907.4642.
- [BCV] K.-U. Bux, R. Charney, and K. Vogtmann, *Automorphisms of two-dimensional RAAGs and partially symmetric automorphisms of free groups*, Groups, Geometry and Dynamics **3** (2009), 525-539.
- [C] D. J. Collins, *Cohomological dimension and symmetric automorphisms of a free group*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **64** (1989), no. 1, 44-61.
- [CV] M. Culler and K. Vogtmann, *Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 1, 91-119.
- [G] S. Galatius, *Stable homology for automorphism groups of free groups*, Ann. of Math. **173** (2011), no. 2, 705-768.
- [JG] J. Griffin, *Diagonal complexes and the integral homology of the automorphism group of a free product*. arXiv:1011.6038.
- [HV] A. Hatcher and K. Vogtmann, *Cerf theory for graphs*, J. London Math. Soc. (1998), 663-655.
- [HW] A. Hatcher and N. Wahl, *Stabilization for mapping class groups of 3-manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **155** (2010), no. 2, 205-269.
- [JW] C. A. Jensen and N. Wahl, *Automorphisms of free groups with boundaries*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **4** (2004), 543-569.
- [Q] D. Quillen, *Homotopy Properties of the Poset of Nontrivial p -Subgroups of a Group*, Advances in Mathematics **28** (1978), 101 - 128.
- [V] K. Vogtmann, *Local structure of some $\text{Out}(F_n)$ -complexes*, Proc. Edinburgh Math Society (1990).
- [W] J. C. H. Wilson, *Representation stability for the cohomology of the pure string motion groups*. arxiv:1108.1255.
- [Z] M. C. B. Zaremsky, *Rational homological stability for groups of partially symmetric automorphisms of free groups*. arxiv:1203.4845.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, BIELEFELD, GERMANY 33615
E-mail address: `zaremsky@math.uni-bielefeld.de`